IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.M.JOSEPH

THURSDAY, THE 6TH SEPTEMBER 2007 / 15TH BHADRA 1929

WP(C).No. 26645 of 2007(V)

PETITIONER:

THE BOARD OF MANAGEMENT OF THE
ANAKKAYAM SERVICE CO-OPERATIVE BANK LTD.NO.F.880,
P.O.ANAKKAYAM, MALAPPURAM REP. BY ITS PRESIDENT.

BY ADV. SRI.P.P.JACOB

RESPONDENTS:

---

1. MOHANDAS.K.,
NOW WORKING AS INTERNAL AUDITOR,
ANAKKAYAM SERVICE CO-OPERATIVE BANK LTD.NO.F 880,
P.O.ANAKKAYAM, MALAPPURAM.

2. THE CHAIRMAN,
CO-OPERATIVE BANKING SERVICE EXAMINATION BOARD,
STATE CO-OPERATIVE BUILDING, OVER BRIDGE,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

3. SMT.A.V.GIRIJA, ASSISTANT SECRETARY,
ANAKKAYAM SERVICE CO-OPERATIVE BANK LTD.NO.F.880,
P.O.ANAKKAYAM, MALAPPURAM.

4. THE CO-OPERATIVE ARBITRATION COURT,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

BY GOVT. PLEADER SMT. ANU SIVARAMAN.

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 06/09/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

K.M.JOSEPH, J.

- -

WP.(C) No.26645 of 2007

- -

Dated this the 6th day of September, 2007

JUDGMENT

Petitioner has approached this court challenging Ext.P4. Ext.P4 is an order passed in an application filed in Ext.P1 Arbitration O.P. filed by the first respondent. Petitioner also seeks a direction to dispose of Ext.P1 filed by the first respondent. Direction is also sought to grant permission to the petitioner to fill up the post of Secretary from the statutory list published by the Examination Board. According to the petitioner, the first respondent is not eligible to be promoted as Secretary. According to the learned counsel for the petitioner it is within the discretion of the petitioner Committee to decide the issue. It is also pointed out that there is a list published by the Board and appointments are to be made from the said list.

2. I think that I need not interfere with Ext.P4. Ext.P4 is an interim order. I feel that the writ petition can be disposed of directing expeditious disposal of Ext.P1.

Having heard learned Government Pleader the writ petition is

disposed of directing the fourth respondent to consider and take a decision on Ext.P1 in accordance with law after affording full opportunity to the parties within a period of six weeks from the date of production of a copy of this judgment.

(K.M. JOSEPH, JUDGE)

sb